

A FIELD THEORY OF KNOTTED SOLITONS

Robert J. Finkelstein

Department of Physics and Astronomy

University of California, Los Angeles, CA 90095-1547

Abstract. The conjecture that the elementary fermions are knotted flux tubes permits the construction of a phenomenology that is not accessible from the standard electroweak theory. In order to carry these ideas further we have attempted to formulate the elements of a field theory in which local $SU(2) \times U(1)$, the symmetry group of standard electroweak theory, is combined with global $SU_q(2)$, the symmetry group of knotted solitons.

UCLA/07/TEP/2

1 Introduction.

We have previously discussed the phenomenology of q -solitons.^{1,2,3} We should now like to incorporate the q -soliton into a field theory that agrees closely with the standard point particle electroweak theory and at the same time replaces the point particles by knotted solitons. To do this we retain both local $SU(2) \times U(1)$, the symmetry group of standard electroweak, and global $SU_q(2)$, the symmetry group of the q -solitons. This is done by retaining the formal structure of standard electroweak but at the same time expanding the quantum fields in a new class of normal modes determined by the $SU_q(2)$ algebra. Then all quantum vector fields will lie in $SU(2) \times U(1)$ Lie algebra as well as in the disjoint $SU_q(2)$ algebra.

2 Lagrangians.

The standard Lagrangian density is

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L} = & -\frac{1}{4}(G^{\mu\nu}G_{\mu\nu} + H^{\mu\nu}H_{\mu\nu}) + i[\bar{L} \not{\nabla} L + \bar{R} \not{\nabla} R] \\ & + (\nabla\phi)^+ \cdot \nabla\phi - V(\phi^+\phi) - \frac{m}{\rho_0}(\bar{L}\phi R + \bar{R}\phi^+ L) \end{aligned} \quad (2.1)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} G^{\mu\nu} &= \partial^\mu \vec{W}^\nu - \partial^\nu \vec{W}^\mu - g \vec{W}^\mu \times \vec{W}^\nu & R &= \frac{1}{2} (1 + \gamma_5) \psi \\ H^{\mu\nu} &= \partial^\mu W_o^\nu - \partial^\nu W_o^\mu & L &= \frac{1}{2} (1 - \gamma_5) \psi \\ \nabla^\mu &= \partial^\mu + i g \vec{W}^\mu \cdot \vec{t} + i g' W_o^\mu t_o \end{aligned}$$

The changes that we make amount to primarily multiplying the usual normal modes of $\vec{W}_\mu, \phi$, and ψ by suitably chosen $D_{m,m'}^j(a, \bar{a}, b, \bar{b})$, the elements of the irreducible representations of $SU_q(2)$. This step is analogous to appending the spin states to $e^{i\vec{p}\vec{x}}$ and is determined by the symmetries of the algebra of $(a, \bar{a}, b, \bar{b})$. We shall also introduce small modifications of (2.1).

After the changes are made the new symmetry of the Lagrangian density will be

$$[\text{local } SU(2) \times U(1)] \otimes [\text{global } U(1)]$$

and the new Lagrangian will be invariant under the new symmetry group.

3 The Irreducible Representations of $SU_q(2)$.

The $(2j+1)$ -dimensional unitary irreducible representations of $SU_q(2)$ are

$$D_{mm'}^j(a, \bar{a}, b, \bar{b}) = \Delta_{mm'}^j \sum_{s,t} \left\langle \begin{matrix} n_+ \\ s \end{matrix} \right\rangle_1 \left\langle \begin{matrix} n_- \\ t \end{matrix} \right\rangle_1 q_1^{t(n_++1-s)} (-1)^t \delta(s+t, n'_+) a^s b^{n_+-s} \bar{b}^t \bar{a}^{n_- - t} \quad (3.1)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} n_{\pm} &= j \pm m & \left\langle \begin{matrix} n \\ s \end{matrix} \right\rangle_1 &= \frac{\langle n \rangle_1!}{\langle s \rangle_1! \langle n-s \rangle_1!} & \langle n \rangle_1 &= \frac{q_1^{2n} - 1}{q_1^2 - 1} \\ n'_{\pm} &= j \pm m' \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

and

$$\Delta_{mm'}^j = \left[\frac{\langle n'_+ \rangle_1! \langle n'_- \rangle_1!}{\langle n_+ \rangle_1! \langle n_- \rangle_1!} \right]^{1/2} \quad q_1 = q^{-1} \quad (3.3)$$

Here the arguments $(a, b, \bar{a}, \bar{b})$ obey the following algebra:

$$\begin{aligned} ab &= qba & a\bar{a} + b\bar{b} &= 1 & b\bar{b} &= \bar{b}b \\ a\bar{b} &= q\bar{b}a & \bar{a}a + q_1^2 \bar{b}b &= 1 \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A})$$

In the limit $q = 1$, where $\langle n \rangle_1 \rightarrow n$ and the arguments commute, the $D_{mm'}^j$ become the matrix elements of the irreducible representations of $SU(2)$.

Remarks:

Every term of (3.1) contains a product of non-commuting factors that may be reduced (after dropping numerical factors) to the form

$$a^{n_a} \bar{a}^{n_{\bar{a}}} b^{n_b} \bar{b}^{n_{\bar{b}}} \quad (3.4)$$

where $n_a = s$ and $n_{\bar{a}} = n_- - t$.

But the factor $\delta(s+t, n'_+)$ appearing in (3.1) implies

$$n'_+ = n_a + (n_- - n_{\bar{a}}) \quad (3.5)$$

or

$$n_a - n_{\bar{a}} = m + m' \quad (3.6)$$

Note that (3.6) holds for all terms of (3.1) and all representations (j).

By a similar argument one notes that

$$n_b - n_{\bar{b}} = m - m' \quad (3.7)$$

again holding for all terms of (3.1) and all representations.

State Space:

The $D_{mm'}^j$ take on numerical values when allowed to operate on a state space that may be defined as follows:

Since $[b, \bar{b}] = 0$, b and $\bar{b}$ have common eigenstates. Let $|0\rangle$ be the ground state and let

$$b|0\rangle = \beta|0\rangle \quad (3.8)$$

$$\bar{b}|0\rangle = \beta^*|0\rangle \quad (3.9)$$

From the algebra one finds

$$\bar{b}b|n\rangle = E_n|n\rangle \quad (3.10)$$

where

$$E_n = q^{2n}|\beta|^2 \quad (3.11)$$

and

$$|n\rangle \sim \bar{a}^n|0\rangle \quad (3.12)$$

Here $\bar{b}b$ is Hermitian with real eigenvalues and orthogonal eigenstates.

4 Invariance of the Algebra (A).

The algebra (A) is invariant under the following transformations

$$\begin{aligned} a' &= e^{i\varphi_a} a \\ \bar{a}' &= e^{-i\varphi_a} \bar{a} \end{aligned} \quad (4.1)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
b' &= e^{i\varphi_b} b \\
\bar{b}' &= e^{-i\varphi_b} \bar{b}
\end{aligned}
\tag{4.2}$$

The gauge transformations induced on the irreducible representations are then

$$U_a D_{mm'}^j = e^{i\varphi_a(n_a - n_{\bar{a}})} D_{mm'}^j \tag{4.3}$$

$$U_b D_{mm'}^j = e^{i\varphi_b(n_b - n_{\bar{b}})} D_{mm'}^j \tag{4.4}$$

since (3.6) and (3.7) hold for all terms of $D_{mm'}^j$. Define with the aid of (3.6) and (3.7)

$$Q_a \equiv k(n_a - n_{\bar{a}}) \equiv k(m + m') \tag{4.5}$$

$$Q_b \equiv k(n_b - n_{\bar{b}}) \equiv k(m - m') \tag{4.6}$$

Then (4.1) and (4.2) induce the following gauge transformations on the irreducible representations:

$$U_a D_{mm'}^j = e^{iQ_a \varphi_a / k} D_{mm'}^j \tag{4.7}$$

$$U_b D_{mm'}^j = e^{iQ_b \varphi_b / k} D_{mm'}^j \tag{4.8}$$

According to (4.5) and (4.6) both Q_a and Q_b reverse sign as one goes from $D_{mm'}^j$ to $\bar{D}_{mm'}^j$. Since we shall associate $D_{mm'}^j$ and $\bar{D}_{mm'}^j$ with particles and antiparticles, Q_a and Q_b reverse sign as one passes to the antiparticle.

5 The Knot Representation.

Since $SU_q(2)$ underlies the description of knots, we shall make this connection explicit by labelling a knot with $D_{\frac{w}{2} \frac{r+1}{2}}^{N/2}$ where (N, w, r) are the number of crossings, the writhe, and the rotation of the knot. The minimum value of N is 3, and the corresponding knot is a trefoil. There are four possible trefoils and they are characterized by $(w, r) = (-3, 2), (3, 2), (3, -2), (-3, -2)$.

We base our work on the physical conjecture that the elementary fermions are knotted flux tubes. More precisely we associate each of the four families of elementary fermions (leptons, neutrinos, up quarks, down quarks) with one of the four trefoils and each of the three members of a family with the three lowest states of excitation of its trefoil. Thus the

electron, muon, and tau are the three lowest states of the leptonic soliton. Then the fermionic families are also labelled by $D_{mm'}^{3/2} = D_{\frac{w}{2} \frac{r+1}{2}}^{3/2}$ and we shall relate Q_a and Q_b as defined in (4.5) and (4.6), to the electric charge and hypercharge of the corresponding family. In fact we may match the 4 trefoils with the 4 families in Table 1 if and only if $k = -1/3$ in (4.5).

Table 1.

$\underline{(w, r)}$	$\underline{D_{\frac{w}{2} \frac{r+1}{2}}^{3/2}}$	$\underline{Q_a}$	$\underline{\text{Family}}$
$(-3, 2)$	$D_{-\frac{3}{2} \frac{3}{2}}^{3/2}$	0	$(\nu_e, \nu_\mu, \nu_\tau)$
$(3, 2)$	$D_{\frac{3}{2} \frac{3}{2}}^{3/2}$	-1	(e, μ, τ)
$(3, -2)$	$D_{\frac{3}{2} -\frac{1}{2}}^{3/2}$	$-\frac{1}{3}$	(dsb)
$(-3, -2)$	$D_{-\frac{3}{2} -\frac{1}{2}}^{3/2}$	$\frac{2}{3}$	(uct)

Here

$$Q_a = -\frac{1}{3}(m + m') \quad (5.1)$$

Note that m distinguishes between the two members of a doublet while m' labels either the light (e, ν) doublets or the heavy (u, d) doublet. To complete the correspondence we write $D_{mm'}^j$ in terms of the conventional quantum numbers that label the point particles of standard theory. Since all fermions have $t = 1/2$ and $t_3 = \pm 1/2$ while the trefoils have $N = 3$ and $w = \pm 3$ we may set

$$t = \frac{N}{6} \quad (5.2)$$

$$t_3 = -\frac{w}{6} \quad (5.3)$$

or

$$D_{mm'}^j = D_{\frac{w}{2} \frac{r+1}{2}}^{N/2} \quad (5.4a)$$

$$= D_{-3t_3 \ m'}^{3t} \quad (5.4b)$$

But

$$\begin{aligned} Q_a &= -\frac{1}{3}(m + m') \\ &= t_3 - \frac{m'}{3} \end{aligned} \quad (5.5)$$

Since Q_a is the electric charge, we also have according to the standard theory:

$$Q_a = t_3 + t_0 \quad (5.6)$$

so that by (5.5)

$$t_0 = -\frac{m'}{3} \quad (5.7)$$

and

$$Q_b = t_3 - t_0 \quad (5.8)$$

Hence the two charges Q_a and Q_b stemming from the gauge invariance of the internal algebra (A) are simply related to the electric charge and hypercharge appearing in standard theory. We also note that $Q_a = 0$ implies $n_a = n_{\bar{a}}$. Then a and $\bar{a}$ may be eliminated from (3.4) since

$$a^n \bar{a}^n = \prod_{s=0}^{n-1} (1 - q^{2s} b \bar{b})$$

Therefore neutral states (neutrinos and neutral bosons) lie entirely in the $(b, \bar{b})$ subalgebra.

Since these transformations on the internal algebra are assumed to be global, they do not give rise to new fields. Rather they simply define the charge and hypercharge of the fermionic sources of the vector fields.

Having found the explicit representations $D_{mm'}^{3/2}$ of the fermions we now turn to the representations of the vector bosons. Since the vector bosons are responsible for pair production, we shall represent them by ditrefoils with $N = 6$. Then by (5.2) and (5.4a)

$$t = \frac{N}{6} = 1 \quad (5.9)$$

$$j = \frac{N}{2} = 3 \quad (5.10)$$

We now find Table 2

Table 2.

	$\underline{t}$	$\underline{t_3}$	$\underline{t_0}$	$\underline{D_{mm'}^3}$
W^+	1	1	0	D_{-30}^3
W^-	1	-1	0	D_{30}^3
W^3	1	0	0	D_{00}^3

where t_3 and t_0 are taken from standard electroweak theory. Here we have used

$$m = -3t_3 \quad (5.11)$$

$$m' = -3t_0 \quad (5.12)$$

that follow from (5.4) and (5.7).

Since W^0 in the standard theory arises from coupling to $U(1)$ it does not belong to the isotopic spin multiplet, $t = 1$, and therefore its representation cannot be fixed by t_3 and t_0 . Since $Q = -\frac{1}{3}(m + m')$ represents the electric charge, all that can be said about W^0 at this point is that $m + m' = 0$. W^0 can then be represented by any $D_{mm'}^j$, where $m + m' = 0$, except D_{00}^3 , which is excluded unless W^0 is otherwise distinguished from W^3 .

Dropping numerical factors, the explicit monomials that label the four fermionic solitons are as follows:

$$D_\nu^{3/2} = \bar{b}^3, \quad D_\ell^{3/2} = a^3, \quad D_u^{3/2} = \bar{a}^2 \bar{b}, \quad D_d^{3/2} = ab^2 \quad (5.13)$$

The corresponding forms labelling the charged vectors are

$$\mathcal{D}_-^3 = a^3 b^3, \quad \mathcal{D}_+^3 = \bar{b}^3 \bar{a}^3 \quad (5.14a)$$

while $\mathcal{D}_3^3$ and $\mathcal{D}_0^3$, labelling the neutral vectors are polynomials lying in the $(b, \bar{b})$ subalgebra.

One possibility is

$$\mathcal{D}_3^3 = D_{oo}^3 \quad \mathcal{D}_0^3 = D_{-11}^3 \quad (5.14b)$$

6 The Normal Modes of the Quantum Fields.

In the proposed formalism the normal modes of the standard electroweak vector fields are to be modified by multiplying the generators $(\vec{t}, t_o)$ of the Lie algebra of $SU(2) \times U(1)$ by the $\mathcal{D}_\alpha^3(a, \bar{a}, b, \bar{b})$, $\alpha = (+, -, 3, 0)$ as follows:

$$\vec{t} \rightarrow \vec{\tau} = (c_+ t_+ \mathcal{D}_+^3, c_- t_- \mathcal{D}_-^3, c_3 t_3 \mathcal{D}_3^3) \quad (6.1a)$$

$$t_0 \rightarrow \tau_0 = t_0 \mathcal{D}_0^3 \quad (6.1b)$$

In the fundamental representation

$$(\vec{\tau}, \tau_0) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & c_+ \mathcal{D}_+^3 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ c_- \mathcal{D}_-^3 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} c_3 \mathcal{D}_3^3 & 0 \\ 0 & -c_3 \mathcal{D}_3^3 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} c_0 \mathcal{D}_0^3 & 0 \\ 0 & c_0 \mathcal{D}_0^3 \end{pmatrix} \quad (6.2)$$

where the $\mathcal{D}_\alpha^3$ ($\alpha = +, -, 3, 0$) are given by (5.14). The numerically valued matrices $(\vec{t}, t_0)$ are in this way replaced by the operator valued $(\vec{\tau}, \tau_0)$.

For the fermions and Higgs-like fields one replaces the numerically valued 2-rowed spinors of isotopic spin $SU(2)$ by the following operator valued spinors

$$\begin{aligned} & \begin{pmatrix} D_\nu^{3/2} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ D_\ell^{3/2} \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} D_u^{3/2} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ D_d^{3/2} \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} D_\nu^{3/2}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} D_\ell^{3/2}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} D_u^{3/2}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} D_d^{3/2} \end{aligned} \quad (6.3)$$

where $D_r^{3/2}$ is an abbreviation for the irreducible representation associated with the r^{th} soliton and where $r = (\nu, \ell, u, d)$. We also introduce ψ_{Ari}

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_{1ri} &= \psi_{1r} D_r(a\bar{a}b\bar{b})|i\rangle \quad A=1 \quad r = \nu, \ell \quad i = 1, 2, 3 \\ \psi_{2ri} &= \psi_{2r} D_r(a\bar{a}b\bar{b})|i\rangle \quad A=2 \quad r = u, d \quad i = 1, 2, 3 \end{aligned} \quad (6.4)$$

where the lepton and neutrino solitons are combined into one isotopic spinor, ψ_{1ri} , and the up and down quarks into a second isotopic spinor, ψ_{2ri} and where i runs over the three states of the soliton. Then

$$\psi_1 = \begin{pmatrix} \psi_\nu D_\nu^{3/2}|i\rangle \\ \psi_\ell D_\ell^{3/2}|i\rangle \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \psi_2 = \begin{pmatrix} \psi_u D_u^{3/2}|i\rangle \\ \psi_d D_d^{3/2}|i\rangle \end{pmatrix} \quad (6.5)$$

We introduce the 4 bosons by defining

$$\mathcal{W}_{rs} = ig \vec{\mathcal{W}} \vec{\tau}_{rs} + ig' \mathcal{W}^0(\tau_0)_{rs} \quad (6.6)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} (\tau_\pm)_{rs} &= c_\pm(t_\pm)_{rs} \mathcal{D}_\pm^3 \\ (\tau_3)_{rs} &= c_3(t_3)_{rs} \mathcal{D}_3^3 \\ (\tau_0)_{rs} &= c_0(t_0)_{rs} \mathcal{D}_0^3 \end{aligned} \quad (6.7)$$

and $(\vec{W}, W^0)$ are numerically valued and replace the components of the standard boson field and $\mathcal{W}$ lies in the internal algebra. The numerical coefficients $(c_\pm, c_3, c_0)$ in (6.7) are to be

considered functions of q and β , the parameters of the model. They are fixed by the relative masses of the vectors and will be so determined in Section 10.

Here $(\mathcal{D}_\pm^3, \mathcal{D}_3^3, \mathcal{D}_0^3)$ are given by (3.1) and (5.14). The covariant derivative is now

$$\mathcal{N}_{rs} = \delta_{rs} \not{\partial} + \mathcal{W}_{rs} \quad (6.8)$$

The corresponding field strengths are

$$\mathcal{W}_{\mu\lambda} = [\nabla_\mu, \nabla_\lambda] \quad (6.9)$$

We shall introduce the direct boson-fermion interactions as follows:

$$(\bar{\psi}_A)_{ri} \mathcal{N}_{rs} U_A (\psi_A)_{si'} \quad A = 1, 2 \quad (r, s) = (\nu, \ell) \text{ or } (u, d) \text{ and } i, i' = 1, 2, 3 \quad (6.10)$$

where $A = 1$ labels the (ν, ℓ) doublet and $A = 2$ labels the quark doublet (u, d) according to (6.4).

The form of U_1 is restricted by the universal Fermi interaction, while U_2 replaces the Kobayashi-Maskawa matrix. Here U_2 “rotates” the initial state $(\psi_A)_{si'}$.

7 The τ -Commutators.

The total field strength is by (6.9) and (6.6)

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{W}_{\mu\lambda} &= [\nabla_\mu, \nabla_\lambda] \\ &= ig(\partial_\mu \vec{W}_\lambda - \partial_\lambda \vec{W}_\mu) \vec{\tau} + ig'(\partial_\mu W_\lambda^0 - \partial_\lambda W_\mu^0) \tau_0 - g^2 W_\mu^m W_\lambda^k [\tau_m, \tau_k] \end{aligned} \quad (7.1)$$

In extracting the τ_k -independent fields from the $\text{Tr } \bar{\mathcal{W}}^{\mu\lambda} \mathcal{W}_{\mu\lambda}$ invariant one needs to compute commutators of the τ_k . By (6.7)

$$[\tau_k, \tau_\ell] = c_k c_\ell [t_k \mathcal{D}_k, t_\ell \mathcal{D}_\ell] \quad (7.2)$$

where

$$t_k = (t_+, t_-, t_3)$$

or

$$t_k = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \quad (7.3)$$

and

$$\mathcal{D}_k = (\mathcal{D}_+, \mathcal{D}_-, \mathcal{D}_3) \quad (7.4)$$

where by (5.14)

$$\mathcal{D}_+ = D_{-30}^3 / N_+ = \bar{b}^3 \bar{a}^3 \quad (7.5)$$

$$\mathcal{D}_- = D_{30}^3 / N_- = a^3 b^3 \quad (7.6)$$

$$\mathcal{D}_3 = D_{00}^3 = f_3(b\bar{b}) \quad (7.7)$$

where the $N_{\pm}$ are c -number normalizing factors and f_3 is a function of $b\bar{b}$ as determined by (3.1). Note

$$\bar{\mathcal{D}}_+ = \mathcal{D}_- \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{\mathcal{D}}_3 = \mathcal{D}_3 \quad (7.8)$$

One has the familiar

$$\begin{aligned} [t_+, t_3] &= -2t_+ \\ [t_-, t_3] &= 2t_- \\ [t_+, t_-] &= t_3 \end{aligned} \quad (7.9)$$

and

$$[t_i, t_j] = c_{ij}^k t_k \quad (i, j, k) = (+, -, 3) \quad (7.10)$$

Similarly one has

$$[\mathcal{D}_+, \mathcal{D}_3] = \hat{c}_{+3}^+ \mathcal{D}_+ \quad (7.11)$$

$$[\mathcal{D}_-, \mathcal{D}_3] = \hat{c}_{-3}^- \mathcal{D}_- \quad (7.12)$$

$$[\mathcal{D}_+, \mathcal{D}_-] = \hat{c}_{+-}^3 \mathcal{D}_3 \quad (7.13)$$

where

$$\hat{c}_{+3}^+ = f_3(q_1^6 \bar{b}b) - f_3(\bar{b}b) \quad (7.14)$$

By (7.8) and (7.11)

$$\hat{c}_{-3}^- = -\hat{c}_{+3}^+ \quad (7.15)$$

and by (7.5)-(7.7)

$$\hat{c}_{+-}^3 = (b\bar{b})^3 [\bar{a}^3 a^3 - q^{18} a^3 \bar{a}^3] / f_3(\bar{b}b) \quad (7.16)$$

Here

$$\bar{a}^3 a^3 = \prod_{t=1}^3 (1 - q_1^{2t} b \bar{b}) \quad (7.17)$$

$$a^3 \bar{a}^3 = \prod_{t=0}^2 (1 - q^{2t} b \bar{b}) \quad (7.18)$$

It follows from these equations and from (7.14)-(7.16) that all $\hat{c}_{ij}^k$ are functions of $b\bar{b}$ and mutually commute.

We now return to (7.2). Since t_k and $\mathcal{D}_\ell$ commute, we have

$$[\tau_k, \tau_\ell] = c_k c_\ell ([t_k, t_\ell] \mathcal{D}_k \mathcal{D}_\ell + t_\ell t_k [\mathcal{D}_k, \mathcal{D}_\ell]) \quad (7.19)$$

By (7.3)

$$t_+ t_3 = -t_+ \quad t_3 t_+ = t_+ \quad (7.20)$$

$$t_- t_3 = t_- \quad t_3 t_- = -t_- \quad (7.21)$$

$$t_+ t_- = \frac{1}{2} t_3 + \frac{1}{2} \quad (7.22)$$

$$t_- t_+ = -\frac{1}{2} t_3 + \frac{1}{2} \quad (7.23)$$

By (7.5)-(7.7)

$$\mathcal{D}_+ \mathcal{D}_3 = \bar{b}^3 \bar{a}^3 f_3(b\bar{b}) = f_3(q_1^6 b\bar{b}) \mathcal{D}_+ \quad (7.24)$$

$$\mathcal{D}_- \mathcal{D}_3 = a^3 b^3 f_3(b\bar{b}) = f_3(q^6 b\bar{b}) \mathcal{D}_- \quad (7.25)$$

$$\mathcal{D}_+ \mathcal{D}_- = (\bar{b}^3 \bar{a}^3)(a^3 b^3) = (\bar{b}b)^3 (\bar{a}^3 a^3) = f_{+-}(\bar{b}b) \mathcal{D}_3 \quad (7.26)$$

$$\mathcal{D}_- \mathcal{D}_+ = (a^3 b^3)(\bar{b}^3 \bar{a}^3) = (\bar{b}b)^3 q^{18} (a^3 \bar{a}^3) = f_{-+}(\bar{b}b) \mathcal{D}_3 \quad (7.27)$$

Here $f_{\pm\mp}$ and f_3 are all functions of $b\bar{b}$ and therefore commute since $\bar{a}^3 a^3$, $a^3 \bar{a}^3$, and $\mathcal{D}_3$ are all functions of $b\bar{b}$.

The parts of (7.19) are then by (7.9), and by (7.20)-(7.27),

$$[t_k, t_\ell] = c_{k\ell}^s t_s \quad t_k t_\ell = \gamma_{k\ell}^s t_s + \gamma_{k\ell} \quad (7.28)$$

$$[\mathcal{D}_k, \mathcal{D}_\ell] = \hat{c}_{k\ell}^s \mathcal{D}_s \quad \mathcal{D}_k \mathcal{D}_\ell = \hat{\gamma}_{k\ell}^s \mathcal{D}_s \quad (7.29)$$

where

$$\gamma_{k\ell} = \frac{1}{2} \delta(k, \pm) \delta(\ell, \mp) \quad (7.30)$$

The coefficients $c_{k\ell}^s$ and $\gamma_{k\ell}^s$ are numerically valued while $\hat{c}_{k\ell}^s$ and $\hat{\gamma}_{k\ell}^s$ are functions of $\bar{b}b$.

By (7.19) and (7.28)-(7.30), one now has

$$[\tau_k, \tau_\ell] = c_k c_\ell [(c_{k\ell}^s t_s)(\hat{\gamma}_{k\ell}^s \mathcal{D}_s) + (\gamma_{\ell k}^s t_s + \gamma_{\ell k})(\hat{c}_{k\ell}^s \mathcal{D}_s)] \quad (7.31)$$

or

$$[\tau_k, \tau_\ell] = \frac{c_k c_\ell}{c_s} C_{k\ell}^s \tau_s + c_k c_\ell \gamma_{\ell k} \hat{c}_{k\ell}^s \mathcal{D}_s \quad (7.32)$$

where

$$C_{k\ell}^s = c_{k\ell}^s \hat{\gamma}_{k\ell}^s + \gamma_{\ell k} \hat{c}_{k\ell}^s \quad (7.33)$$

The structure coefficients of the algebra (7.32) and (7.33) depend on the numerically valued $c_{k\ell}^s$ and $\gamma_{\ell k}^s$ but also on the $\hat{c}_{k\ell}^s$ and $\hat{\gamma}_{k\ell}^s$ that are functions of $\bar{b}b$ and are therefore also numerically valued when allowed to operate on the states $|n\rangle$ of the q -oscillator.

8 The Field Invariant $\langle 0 | \text{Tr } \mathcal{W}_{\mu\lambda} \mathcal{W}^{\mu\lambda} | 0 \rangle$.

Here the trace is taken on only the matrix part that is dependent on the t . By (7.1) the non-Abelian contribution to the field strength is

$$\mathcal{W}_{\mu\lambda} = ig(\partial_\mu W_\lambda^s - \partial_\lambda W_\mu^s) \tau_s - g^2 W_\mu^m W_\lambda^\ell [\tau_m, \tau_\ell] \quad (8.1)$$

$$= ig(\partial_\mu W_\lambda^s - \partial_\lambda W_\mu^s) \tau_s - g^2 [c_m c_\ell c_s^{-1} C_{m\ell}^s \tau_s + c_m c_\ell \gamma_{\ell m} \hat{c}_{m\ell}^s \mathcal{D}_s] W_\mu^m W_\lambda^\ell \quad (8.2)$$

By (7.32), or

$$\mathcal{W}_{\mu\lambda} = W_{\mu\lambda}^s \tau_s + \hat{W}_{\mu\lambda}^s \mathcal{D}_s \quad (8.3)$$

where

$$W_{\mu\lambda}^s = ig(\partial_\mu W_\lambda^s - \partial_\lambda W_\mu^s) - g^2 c_m c_\ell c_s^{-1} C_{m\ell}^s W_\mu^m W_\lambda^\ell \quad (8.4)$$

$$\hat{W}_{\mu\lambda}^s = -\frac{1}{2} g^2 c_m c_\ell \delta(\ell, \pm) \delta(m, \mp) \hat{c}_{m\ell}^s W_\mu^m W_\lambda^\ell \quad (8.5)$$

by (7.30). Then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{W}_{\mu\lambda} \mathcal{W}^{\mu\lambda} &= W_{\mu\lambda}^s W^{r\mu\lambda} \tau_s \tau_r + \hat{W}_{\mu\lambda}^s \hat{W}^{\mu\lambda r} \mathcal{D}_s \mathcal{D}_r \\ &\quad + W_{\mu\lambda}^s \tau_s \cdot \hat{W}^{r\mu\lambda} D_r + \hat{W}_{\mu\lambda}^s \mathcal{D}_s \cdot W^{r\mu\lambda} \tau_r \end{aligned} \quad (8.6)$$

In order to reduce the invariant $\text{Tr}\langle 0|\mathcal{W}_{\mu\lambda}\mathcal{W}^{\mu\lambda}|0\rangle$ we next consider the expectation value of the expression (8.6) on the state $|0\rangle$

$$\begin{aligned}\langle 0|\mathcal{W}_{\mu\lambda}\mathcal{W}^{\mu\lambda}|0\rangle &= \langle 0|W_{\mu\lambda}^s W^{r\mu\lambda}\tau_s\tau_r + \hat{W}_{\mu\lambda}^s \hat{W}^{\mu\lambda r}\mathcal{D}_s\mathcal{D}_r \\ &\quad + (W_{\mu\lambda}^s\tau_s) \cdot (\hat{W}^{\mu\lambda r}\mathcal{D}_r) + (\hat{W}_{\mu\lambda}^s\mathcal{D}_s) \cdot (W^{\mu\lambda r}\tau_r)|0\rangle\end{aligned}\quad (8.7)$$

where $\mathcal{W}_{\mu\lambda}$ is given by (8.3)

$$\begin{aligned}&= \sum_n \left[\langle 0|W_{\mu\lambda}^s W^{r\mu\lambda}|n\rangle \langle n|\tau_s\tau_r|0\rangle + \langle 0|\hat{W}_{\mu\lambda}^s \hat{W}^{\mu\lambda r}|n\rangle \langle n|\mathcal{D}_s\mathcal{D}_r|0\rangle \right] \\ &\quad + \sum_n \left[\langle 0|W_{\mu\lambda}^s \hat{W}^{\mu\lambda r}|n\rangle \langle n|\tau_s\mathcal{D}_r|0\rangle + \langle 0|\hat{W}_{\mu\lambda}^s W^{\mu\lambda r}|n\rangle \langle n|\mathcal{D}_r\tau_s|0\rangle \right]\end{aligned}\quad (8.8)$$

where $|n\rangle$ are the states of the q -oscillator.

Since $W_{\mu\lambda}^s$ and $\hat{W}_{\mu\lambda}^s$ depend on the algebra only through $\bar{b}b$, they have no off-diagonal elements in n . Then

$$\begin{aligned}\langle 0|\mathcal{W}_{\mu\lambda}\mathcal{W}^{\mu\lambda}|0\rangle &= \langle 0|W_{\mu\lambda}^s W^{r\mu\lambda}|0\rangle \langle 0|\tau_s\tau_r|0\rangle + \langle 0|\hat{W}_{\mu\lambda}^s \hat{W}^{\mu\lambda r}|0\rangle \langle 0|\mathcal{D}_s\mathcal{D}_r|0\rangle \\ &\quad + \langle 0|W_{\mu\lambda}^s \hat{W}^{\mu\lambda r}|0\rangle \langle 0|\tau_s\mathcal{D}_r|0\rangle + \langle 0|\hat{W}_{\mu\lambda}^s W^{\mu\lambda r}|0\rangle \langle 0|\mathcal{D}_r\tau_s|0\rangle\end{aligned}\quad (8.9)$$

To continue the reduction of the field invariant $I = \langle 0|\text{Tr } \mathcal{W}_{\mu\lambda}\mathcal{W}^{\mu\lambda}|0\rangle$ we next compute

$$\begin{aligned}\langle 0|\text{Tr } \tau_s\tau_r|0\rangle &= c_s c_r \langle 0|(\text{Tr } t_s t_r)\mathcal{D}_s\mathcal{D}_r|0\rangle \\ &= c_s c_r (\text{Tr } t_s t_r) \langle 0|\mathcal{D}_s\mathcal{D}_r|0\rangle\end{aligned}\quad (8.10)$$

since the trace is taken only on matrices dependent on the t . Then by (7.3)

$$\text{Tr } t_s t_r = \delta(s, \pm)\delta(r, \mp) + 2\delta(s, 3)\delta(r, 3)\quad (8.11)$$

One also finds by (7.5)-(7.7)

$$\langle 0|\mathcal{D}_s\mathcal{D}_r|0\rangle = [\delta(s, \pm)\delta(r, \mp) + \delta(s, 3)\delta(r, 3)]\langle 0|\bar{\mathcal{D}}_s\mathcal{D}_s|0\rangle\quad (8.12)$$

while

$$\langle 0|\text{Tr } \tau_s\mathcal{D}_r|0\rangle = \langle 0|\text{Tr } \mathcal{D}_r\tau_s|0\rangle = 0\quad (8.13)$$

Then the field invariant reduces to the following expression

$$I = \sum_{s,r=(+-)} \langle 0|A_{sr}W_{\mu\lambda}^s W^{\mu\lambda r} + 2\hat{W}_{\mu\lambda}^s \hat{W}^{\mu\lambda r}|0\rangle \langle 0|\mathcal{D}_s\mathcal{D}_r|0\rangle\quad (8.14)$$

where

$$A_{sr} = c_s c_r \text{Tr } t_s t_r \quad (8.15)$$

Here $\langle 0 | \mathcal{D}_s \mathcal{D}_r | 0 \rangle = 0$ unless $\mathcal{D}_s = \bar{\mathcal{D}}_r$ and

$$\langle 0 | \bar{\mathcal{D}}_+ \mathcal{D}_+ | 0 \rangle = \langle 0 | a^3 b^3 \bar{b}^3 \bar{a}^3 | 0 \rangle = q^{18} \langle 0 | (\bar{b}b)^3 a^3 \bar{a}^3 | 0 \rangle \quad (8.16)$$

$$\langle 0 | \bar{\mathcal{D}}_- \mathcal{D}_- | 0 \rangle = \langle 0 | \bar{b}^3 \bar{a}^3 a^3 b^3 | 0 \rangle = \langle 0 | (\bar{b}b)^3 \bar{a}^3 a^3 | 0 \rangle \quad (8.17)$$

$$\langle 0 | \bar{\mathcal{D}}_3 \mathcal{D}_3 | 0 \rangle = |f(\bar{b}b)|^2 \quad (8.18)$$

These matrix elements are all functions of $\bar{b}b$, while $W_{\mu\lambda}^s$ and $\hat{W}_{\mu\lambda}^s$ in (8.14) are given by (8.4) and (8.5) respectively.

The expression $W_{\mu\lambda}^s$ is of the same form as in the standard theory but the structure coefficients differ from those of the SU(2) algebra because they depend on $\bar{b}b$. Since (8.14) is evaluated on the state $|0\rangle$ all expressions of the form $F(\bar{b}b)$ become $F(|\beta|^2)$. Therefore the structure constants $C_{m\ell}^s(\bar{b}b)$ buried in $W_{\mu\lambda}^s$ and in turn appearing in (8.14) become $C_{m\ell}^s(|\beta|^2)$. Then the final reduced form of $\langle 0 | \text{Tr } W_{\mu\lambda} W^{\mu\lambda} | 0 \rangle$ will have one part ($W_{\mu\lambda}^s W_s^{\mu\lambda}$) essentially the same as the standard theory, but with structure constants $C_{m\ell}^s$ depending on $|\beta|^2$. There is also a second part ($\hat{W}_{\mu\lambda}^s \hat{W}_s^{\mu\lambda}$) given in (8.5) depending on $\hat{c}_{m\ell}^s$ which is (by (7.14)-(7.16)) dependent on q and β . The sum of these two parts is multiplied by $\langle 0 | \bar{\mathcal{D}}_s \mathcal{D}_s | 0 \rangle$, again a function of q and β as shown in (8.16)- (8.18) and (7.17) and (7.18). The functions $c_s(q, \beta)$ will be given in Section 10.

9 Gauge Invariance.

The new gauge group is generated by the following unitary transformations:

$$\mathcal{S} = S \otimes s \quad (9.1)$$

where

$$S \in \text{local}[SU(2) \otimes U(1)] \quad (9.2)$$

and

$$s \in \text{global } U_a(1) \otimes U_b(1) \quad (9.3)$$

or

$$S = e^{i\vec{t}\vec{\theta}(x)} e^{it_0\theta_0(x)} \quad (9.4)$$

and

$$s = e^{iQ_a\theta_a} e^{iQ_b\theta_b} \quad (9.5)$$

where θ_a and θ_b are independent of x . Then

$$\mathcal{S}\psi_1 = \mathcal{S} \begin{pmatrix} D_\nu \\ D_\ell \end{pmatrix} = S \otimes s \begin{pmatrix} D_\nu \\ D_\ell \end{pmatrix} \quad (9.6)$$

$$= S \begin{pmatrix} D'_\nu \\ D'_\ell \end{pmatrix} \quad (9.7)$$

$$= e^{i\vec{t}\vec{\theta}(x)} e^{it_0\theta_0(x)} \begin{pmatrix} D'_\nu \\ D'_\ell \end{pmatrix} \quad (9.8)$$

where

$$D'_k = e^{iQ_a(k)\theta_a} e^{iQ_b(k)\theta_b} D_k \quad k = (\nu, \ell) \quad (9.9)$$

with similar equations for the quark solitons. Eq. (9.5) describes the action of s on irreducible representations only. In general however s denotes the action of the gauge transformations (4.1) and (4.2) on the entire Lagrangian including the interaction (6.10).

In (6.10) therefore

$$\begin{aligned} s U_2 \psi_2 &= U'_2 \psi'_2 \\ &= U'_2 \begin{pmatrix} D'_u \\ D'_d \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

The interaction terms will transform as

$$(\bar{\psi}_A)' \mathcal{N}' (U_A \psi_A)' = \bar{\psi}_A \bar{\mathcal{S}} \mathcal{N}' \mathcal{S} (U_A \psi_A) \quad (9.10)$$

Since $\mathcal{S}$ is unitary

$$= \bar{\psi}_A \mathcal{S}^{-1} \mathcal{N}' (\mathcal{S} U_A \psi_A) \quad (9.11)$$

Then the interaction terms are invariant if

$$\mathcal{N}' = \mathcal{S} \mathcal{N} \mathcal{S}^{-1} \quad (9.12)$$

and by (6.8)

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{W}' &= \mathcal{S} \mathcal{W} \mathcal{S}^{-1} + \mathcal{S} \not{\partial} \mathcal{S}^{-1} \\ &= (Ss) \mathcal{W}(s^{-1}S^{-1}) + S \not{\partial} S^{-1}\end{aligned}\tag{9.13}$$

since s is global. The field strengths, given by (6.9), transform as follows:

$$\mathcal{W}'_{\mu\lambda} = (\nabla'_\mu, \nabla'_\lambda)\tag{9.14}$$

$$= \mathcal{S}(\nabla_\mu, \nabla_\lambda)\mathcal{S}^{-1}\tag{9.15}$$

$$= \mathcal{S}\mathcal{W}_{\mu\lambda}\mathcal{S}^{-1}\tag{9.16}$$

and the (standard non-Abelian) field invariant will transform as

$$\text{Tr} (\mathcal{W}_{\mu\lambda}\mathcal{W}^{\mu\lambda})' = \text{Tr} \mathcal{S}\mathcal{W}_{\mu\lambda}\mathcal{W}^{\mu\lambda}\mathcal{S}^{-1}\tag{9.17}$$

where the trace is on the t matrices. Then

$$\text{Tr} \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{W}_{\mu\lambda}\mathcal{W}^{\mu\lambda})\mathcal{S}^{-1} = \text{Tr} s\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{W}_{\mu\lambda}\mathcal{W}^{\mu\lambda})\mathcal{S}^{-1}s^{-1}\tag{9.18}$$

$$= s \cdot \text{Tr} \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{W}_{\mu\lambda}\mathcal{W}^{\mu\lambda})\mathcal{S}^{-1} \cdot s^{-1}\tag{9.19}$$

$$= s \cdot \text{Tr} \mathcal{W}_{\mu\lambda}\mathcal{W}^{\mu\lambda} \cdot s^{-1}\tag{9.20}$$

since the matrix elements of t_k are numerically valued and therefore the matrix elements of $S(\vec{t})$ commute with the matrix elements of $\mathcal{W}^{\mu\lambda}(\vec{\tau})$.

To reduce (9.20) let us take the trace of (8.6) as follows:

$$\text{Tr} \mathcal{W}_{\mu\lambda}\mathcal{W}^{\mu\lambda} = W_{\mu\lambda}^m W^{p\mu\lambda} (\text{Tr} \tau_m \tau_p) \mathcal{D}_m \mathcal{D}_p + 2\hat{W}_{\mu\lambda}^m \hat{W}^{p\mu\lambda} \mathcal{D}_m \mathcal{D}_p\tag{9.21}$$

Then

$$\mathcal{S}(\text{Tr} \mathcal{W}_{\mu\lambda}\mathcal{W}^{\mu\lambda})\mathcal{S}^{-1} = W_{\mu\lambda}^m W^{p\mu\lambda} (\text{Tr} t_m t_p) s \mathcal{D}_m \mathcal{D}_p s^{-1} + 2\hat{W}_{\mu\lambda}^m \hat{W}^{p\mu\lambda} s \mathcal{D}_m \mathcal{D}_p s^{-1}\tag{9.22}$$

By (7.20)-(7.23) the first term on the right side of (9.21) vanishes unless

$$(m, p) = (\pm, \mp) \quad \text{or} \quad (m, p) = (3, 3)$$

Hence the first term vanishes unless

$$s \mathcal{D}_m \mathcal{D}_p s^{-1} = s \mathcal{D}_\pm \mathcal{D}_\mp s^{-1}\tag{9.23}$$

or

$$s\mathcal{D}_m\mathcal{D}_ps^{-1} = s\mathcal{D}_3\mathcal{D}_3s^{-1} \quad (9.24)$$

But $\mathcal{D}_3\mathcal{D}_3$ as well as $\mathcal{D}_\pm\mathcal{D}_\mp$ carries zero Q_a and Q_b charge and is therefore invariant under s transformations. The second term is invariant for the same reason since $\hat{W}_{\mu\lambda}^m\hat{W}^{\mu\lambda p}$ vanishes by (8.5) unless $(m, p) = (3, 3)$. Therefore

$$\mathcal{S}(\text{Tr } \mathcal{W}_{\mu\lambda}\mathcal{W}^{\mu\lambda})\mathcal{S}^{-1} = \text{Tr } \mathcal{W}_{\mu\lambda}\mathcal{W}^{\mu\lambda} \quad (9.25)$$

i.e. the standard field invariant remains invariant when constructed out of the modified vector potential and transformed according to the new gauge group.

10 The Higgs Sector.

(a) The Vector Masses.

The neutral couplings in the knot model are by (6.6)

$$ig \not{W}_3\tau_3 + ig_o W_o\tau_o \quad (10.1)$$

Introducing the physical fields (A and Z) in the standard way we have

$$\begin{aligned} W_o &= A \cos \theta - Z \sin \theta \\ W_3 &= A \sin \theta + Z \cos \theta \end{aligned} \quad (10.2)$$

Then (10.1) becomes

$$A\mathcal{A} + Z\mathcal{Z} \quad (10.3)$$

where

$$\mathcal{A} = i(g\tau_3 \sin \theta + g_o\tau_o \cos \theta) \quad (10.4)$$

$$\mathcal{Z} = i(g\tau_3 \cos \theta - g_o\tau_o \sin \theta) \quad (10.5)$$

Since there is no interaction between photons and neutrinos one may write, by (10.3) and (6.3)

$$(\bar{D}_\nu^{3/2} \ 0)\mathcal{A} \begin{pmatrix} D_\nu^{3/2} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = \bar{D}_\nu^{3/2} \mathcal{A}_{\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2}} D_\nu^{3/2} = 0 \quad (10.6)$$

According to (10.4) the preceding equation is satisfied by

$$g(\tau_3)^{\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2}} \sin \theta + g_o(\tau_o)^{\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2}} \cos \theta = 0 \quad (10.7)$$

and by (10.5)

$$\mathcal{Z} = ig \frac{1}{\cos \theta} (\tau_3) \quad (10.8)$$

Then the covariant derivative of a neutral state is

$$\nabla_\mu = \partial_\mu + ig \left[W_+ \tau_+ + W_- \tau_- + \frac{Z \tau_3}{\cos \theta} \right] \quad (10.9)$$

Denote the neutral Higgs scalar by

$$\phi = \rho D_\nu |0\rangle \quad (10.10)$$

where D_ν is defined in (5.13) and is the neutral trefoil, namely $(-3,2)$, carrying the representation $D_{-\frac{3}{2}\frac{3}{2}}^{3/2}$.

We now replace the kinetic energy term of the neutral Higgs of the standard model by

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr}(\overline{\nabla_\mu \varphi} \nabla^\mu \varphi) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr} \langle 0 | \bar{D}_\nu \\ & \quad \times \left[\partial_\mu \rho \partial^\mu \rho + g^2 \rho^2 [W_+^\mu W_{+\mu} \bar{\tau}_+ \tau_+ + W_-^\mu W_{-\mu} \bar{\tau}_- \tau_- + \frac{Z^\mu Z_\mu}{\cos^2 \theta} \bar{\tau}_3 \tau_3] \right] D_\nu |0\rangle \end{aligned} \quad (10.11)$$

$$= I \partial_\mu \rho \partial^\mu \rho + g^2 \rho^2 \left[I_{++} W_+^\mu W_{+\mu} + I_{--} W_-^\mu W_{-\mu} + \frac{I_{33}}{\cos^2 \theta} Z^\mu Z_\mu \right] \quad (10.12)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} I &= \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr} \langle 0 | \bar{D}_\nu D_\nu |0\rangle \\ I_{++} &= \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr} \langle 0 | \bar{D}_\nu \bar{\tau}_+ \tau_+ D_\nu |0\rangle \\ I_{--} &= \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr} \langle 0 | \bar{D}_\nu \bar{\tau}_- \tau_- D_\nu |0\rangle \\ I_{33} &= \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr} \langle 0 | \bar{D}_\nu \bar{\tau}_3 \tau_3 D_\nu |0\rangle \end{aligned} \quad (10.13)$$

To agree with the masses predicted by the standard theory (10.12) must be reduced to the following

$$\partial_\mu \bar{\rho} \partial^\mu \bar{\rho} + g^2 \bar{\rho}^2 \left[W_+^\mu W_{+\mu} + W_-^\mu W_{-\mu} + \frac{1}{\cos^2 \theta} Z^\mu Z_\mu \right] \quad (10.14)$$

where

$$\bar{\rho} = I^{1/2} \rho \quad (10.15)$$

To achieve this reduction we impose the following relations:

$$\frac{I_{kk}}{I} = 1 \quad k = (+, -, 3) \quad (10.16)$$

or

$$\frac{\text{Tr}\langle 0 | \bar{D}_\nu (\bar{\tau}_k \tau_k) D_\nu | 0 \rangle}{\text{Tr}\langle 0 | \bar{D}_\nu D_\nu | 0 \rangle} = 1 \quad k = (+, -, 3) \quad (10.17)$$

By (6.7) and (10.17) we have

$$|c_k|^{-2} = \frac{\langle 0 | \bar{D}_\nu (\bar{\mathcal{D}}_k \mathcal{D}_k) D_\nu | 0 \rangle}{\langle 0 | \bar{D}_\nu D_\nu | 0 \rangle} \quad k = (+, -, 3) \quad (10.18)$$

In (6.7) the coefficients $(c_\pm, c_3)$ were introduced as arbitrary functions. They are now fixed by (10.18) as definite functions of q and β . Here the $\mathcal{D}_k$ are given by (5.14).

One finds by (5.13) and (5.14)

$$|c_-|^{-2} = \frac{\langle 0 | b^3 (\bar{b}^3 \bar{a}^3) (a^3 b^3) \bar{b}^3 | 0 \rangle}{\langle 0 | b^3 \bar{b}^3 | 0 \rangle} \quad (10.19)$$

$$= |\beta|^6 \langle 0 | \bar{a}^3 a^3 | 0 \rangle \quad (10.20)$$

$$= |\beta|^6 \prod_{t=1}^3 (1 - q_1^{2t} |\beta|^2) \quad (10.21)$$

$$|c_+|^{-2} = |\beta|^6 \langle 0 | a^3 \bar{a}^3 | 0 \rangle \quad (10.22)$$

$$= |\beta|^6 \prod_{t=0}^2 (1 - q^{2t} |\beta|^2) \quad (10.23)$$

$$|c_3|^{-2} = \frac{\langle 0 | b^3 \bar{\mathcal{D}}_3 \mathcal{D}_3 \bar{b}^3 | 0 \rangle}{\langle 0 | b^3 \bar{b}^3 | 0 \rangle} \quad (10.24)$$

$$= \langle 0 | \bar{\mathcal{D}}_3 \mathcal{D}_3 | 0 \rangle \quad (10.25)$$

$$= \langle 0 | [f(\bar{b}b)]^2 | 0 \rangle$$

$$= [f(|\beta|^2)]^2 \quad (10.26)$$

where

$$f(\bar{b}b) = D_{oo}^3 \quad (10.27)$$

Here D_{oo}^3 is the polynomial computed from (3.1).

c_o is not determined by (10.18) but by (10.7).

One finds by (10.7)

$$\frac{c_o}{c_3} = -\frac{\langle 0|D_{oo}^3|0\rangle}{\langle 0|D_{-11}^3|0\rangle} \quad (10.28)$$

where the Weinberg relation

$$\tan \theta = \frac{g_o}{g} \quad (10.29)$$

has been assumed.

(b) The Fermion Masses.

In the mass term of (2.1) one has

$$\bar{L}\varphi R + \bar{R}\bar{\varphi}L \quad (10.30)$$

In the present model this term would be invariant if L is chosen to be an external left doublet $\otimes$ an internal $SU_q(2)$ trefoil, and R is chosen to be an external right singlet $\otimes$ internal $SU_q(2)$ singlet, while the Higgs φ is chosen to be an external doublet $\otimes$ internal trefoil adjoint to the left trefoil. One would then find for the mass of the n^{th} fermion as an excited state of the (w, r) soliton the following:

$$m_n(w, r) = \rho(w, r) \langle n | \bar{D}^{\frac{3}{2} \frac{w}{2} \frac{r+1}{2}} D^{\frac{3}{2} \frac{w}{2} \frac{r+1}{2}} | n \rangle \quad (10.31)$$

Here $\bar{D}$ represents the internal state of the soliton and D the internal state of a Higgs particle. This speculative expression is discussed in the first two references.

11 Remarks.

We have not studied the perturbative formulation of the theory in higher orders and therefore do not know whether standard renormalization procedures can be adapted to the different structure coefficients of this formalism, or whether different procedures for dealing with the higher order corrections are required. The theory is gauge invariant.

The purpose of the present work is to provide a field theoretic basis for our earlier phenomenology. The main motivation remains the possibility of providing a substructure for standard theory by replacing point particles by solitons.

The possibility that the elementary particles are knots has been raised by many authors. A particular model related to the Skyrme soliton has been described by Fadeev and Niemi.⁴ The present work should be regarded as a model independent description of the elementary particles as knots in the context of electroweak theory.

References.

1. R. J. Finkelstein, Int. J. Mod. Phys. **A20**, 487 (2005).
2. R. J. Finkelstein and A. C. Cadavid, Int. J. Mod. Phys. **A21**, 4269 (2006).
3. R. J. Finkelstein, “Trefoil Solitons, Elementary Fermions, and $SU_q(2)$ ”, hep-th/0602098.
4. L. Fadeev and Antti J. Niemi, “Knots and Particles”, hep-th/9610193.